IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.2567 OF 2006
IN
APPEAL (L) NO.501 OF 2005
IN
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1905 OF 2005
IN
SUIT NO. 1747 OF 2005

Raymond Limited .. Appellant

Vs.

S.P.Mehta .. Respondent

Mr.Arun Siwach i/b. Rajani Associates for the Appellant.
None for the Respondent.

CORAM : DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN & S.J.VAZIFDAR, JJ.

DATE : 11TH AUGUST, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the Appellant. None appears for the Respondent, though served. Perused the affidavit of service in support of the Notice of Motion. For the reasons stated therein, sufficient cause is made out for condoning the delay. There is no case of inaction, negligence or want of bonafide on the part of the Appellant. Hence, the Notice of Motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN,J.)

(S.J.VAZIFDAR,J.)